1 2	Christina L. Henry, WSBA# 31273 Chenry@hdm-legal.com CSÉÁJÔVÁCCÁ€JI€€ÁŒT Henry & DeGraaff, PS SŒÕÃÔUWÞVŸ		
3	Seattle, WA 98104	QJÜÁÔUWÜVÁÔŠÒÜS ÒĒZŒŠÒÖ - MONTONION ON O	
4	Fax: +1-206-400-7609	KÁG€ÉCÉFÍÍÍÍÉÍÁÙÒŒ	
5	Other Counsel on Signature Page		
6			
7			
8			
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING		
10			
11		ı	
12	Joseph J. Hesketh III, on his behalf and on behalf of other similarly	Case No:	
13	situated persons		
14	Plaintiff,		
15	V.	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
16	Total Renal Care, Inc, on its own behalf and behalf of other similarly situated persons,	on (JURY DEMAND)	
17	Defendants.		
18	Plaintiff, Joseph J. Hesketh III, individually, and on behalf of all other similarly situated,		
19	sues Defendant, Total Renal Care, Inc. ("Total") individually, and on behalf of all others		
20	similarly situated and alleges:		
21	I. <u>OVERVIEW</u>		
22			
23	1. An employee has the right to be paid for the time the employee does work for		
24	an employer at the rates the parties have agreed. This action is filed because the Defendants and		
25	others failed to pay the Plaintiff and the other class members the amounts they were due to be		
26	paid for the work they performed and which the Defendants agreed to pay.		
	COMPLAINT - 1	HENRY & DEGRAAFF, P.S.	

2. Total is an integral part of DaVita, Inc. (DaVita) (formerly known as Total Rena
Care Holdings, Inc.) DaVita is a nationwide entity that employs 77,000 people to operate its
profit driven business model through a number of entities controlled and operated by DaVita.
Employees of Total and the other entities are led to be believe that they all part of a single
"village" down to including the registered trademark of DaVita on their paychecks. DaVita
describes itself as entity that "we provided dialysis and administrative services and related
laboratory services throughout the U.S. via a network of 2,753 outpatient dialysis centers
in 46 states and the District of Columbia, ¹

- 3. As of December 31, 2019, DaVita operated or provided administrative services through a network of 2,753 outpatient dialysis centers in the U.S. ² This includes 53 locations in Washington State.
- 4. Plaintiff is an employee of Total and a member of the DaVita village and began working Total over thirteen (13) years ago.
- 5. DaVita maintains and publishes an employee handbook titled "Teammate Policies" which contains certain conditions of employment; the handbook states what is expected of DaVita employees and, inter alia, how DaVita will compensate its employees for their loyalty and work, under certain situations.

¹ See DaVita, Inc.'s 2019 10k available at https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/927066/000092706620000014/dva-12311910k.htm#s967C77CBE804541FAE5B78B764C16026

² Id.

- 6. The Teammate Policies handbook, under the Compensation section, included a "Disaster Relief Policy" that sets out DaVita's pay practices for non-exempt employees who miss work during a declared emergency, in whole or in part, and those non-exempt employees who can and do work during a declared emergency.
- 7. The Disaster Relief Policy provides for pay continuance during an emergency time frame when a declared emergency or natural disaster prevents teammates from performing their regular duties and state that: "[a] declared emergency or natural disaster shall be proclaimed by either the President of the United States, a state Governor or other elected official, or if local leadership (DVP/Palmer) deems it appropriate. In the event of a state or federally declared natural disaster, this policy provides information relative to pay practices, work schedules and facility or business office coverage."
- 8. Under the section titled "Pay Practices For Non-Exempt Teammates" DaVita establishes the pay practices for three emergency or natural disaster scenarios:
 - a. if non-exempt employees are unable to work because "a facility or business office is closed during a declared emergency or natural disaster," those non-exempt employees will be paid for their regularly scheduled hours at regular pay;
 - b. if "a facility or business office opens late or closes early a declared emergency or natural disaster," non-exempt employees will be paid for their regularly scheduled hours at regular pay, even if the employees are unable to work their full schedules because of the emergency or natural disaster; and
 - c. if "a facility or business office is open during the designated time frame" employees who work their regularly scheduled hours will be paid premium pay.

- 9. "Premium pay" is defined as one and one half times regular pay, unless state law dictates otherwise.
- 10. The clear import of the "Pay Practices For Non-Exempt Teammates" is that every non-exempt DaVita employee who works his or her regularly scheduled hours during a declared emergency will be paid premium pay.
- 11. The "Pay Practices For Non-Exempt Teammates" is a method of attracting and retaining employees whose knowledge and skills are valuable to the business profit model developed by DaVita. It is not altruism.
- 12. DaVita's policy and practice is to provide actual notice to its employees before any changes to its pay policies take effect.
 - 13. A national emergency was declared on January 31, 2020.
- 14. The Plaintiff, who is a non-exempt employee, continued to work his regularly scheduled hours for Defendants after the state of emergency was declared.
- 15. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff premium pay for the regularly scheduled hours he worked during the time of the state of emergency. Instead, DaVita attempted to later change the terms of the Teammate Policies handbook to now exclude the present emergency from those emergencies covered by the Disaster Relief Policy by a notice sent out in September, 2020.
- 16. Whether or not DaVita's effort to unilaterally change the pay agreement with its non-exempt employees is effective, there is a period of time before the attempted effort was made in which the Disaster Relief Policy applied and the premium pay was due to Plaintiff and all other non-exempt employees.

- 24. The Plaintiff Class Members are entitled to the premium pay for the hours they worked as they were promised.
 - 25. The amounts owed to the Plaintiff Class Members are liquidated amounts.

V. <u>CLASS ALLEGATIONS AS TO PLAINTIFF CLASS</u>

- 26. Plaintiff brings this complaint individually and on behalf of a class of all other persons similarly situated.
- 27. The class is comprised of all non-exempt employees of the Defendant Class Members who:
 - a. Worked for the Defendant Class Members on and after January 31,2020;
 - b. Were not paid the premium pay equal to ½ times their base rate, for any work performed after the declaration of emergency.
- 28. Based on the number of clinics operated by the Defendant Class Members in the United States, upon information and belief, the class is so numerous as to make it impracticable to join all members of the class of plaintiffs. On information and belief, the class is comprised of hundreds, if not thousands of individuals.
- 29. There are questions of law and fact which are common to all members of the Plaintiff Class, which questions predominate over any question affecting only individual class members, the principal common issues are:
 - a. whether Plaintiff Class Members are entitled to be paid the premium pay for the hours they worked for the Defendant Class Members since the declaration of an emergency; and

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

b. Whether the Plaintiff Class Members are entitled to prejudgment interest on any amounts they are owed by the Defendant Class Members.

- 30. The only individual questions concern the identification of class members and the computation of the relief to be afforded each class member and can be determined by a ministerial examination of the Defendant Class Members' records.
- 31. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Plaintiff Class Members. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Class Members treated all of the Plaintiff Class Members the same by failing to pay premium time since the declaration of an emergency.
- 32. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all class members in the prosecution of this action. He is similarly situated with, and has suffered similar injuries as, the members of the class he seeks to represent. He feels he has been wronged and wishes to obtain redress of the wrong. To that end, plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action suits involving claims as set forth in this complaint. Neither the named plaintiff nor his counsel have any interest which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 33. The Defendant Class Members have and are acting in a uniform manner with respect to the entire class and on grounds uniformly applicable to the class.
- 34. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 35. The amounts involved on an individual basis make pursuit of individual actions unlikely.
- 36. The concentration of the litigation concerning this matter in this Court is desirable if the court.
 - 37. A failure of justice will result from the absence of a class action.

38. Plaintiff Class Members were damaged by the conduct complained of, in that they were not paid the amounts owed to them and that the Defendant Class Members promised to pay them.

VI. CLASS ALLEGATIONS AS TO THE DEFENDANTS CLASS.

- 39. Plaintiff brings this complaint against the Defendant Total individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated. DaVita operates as a single unit (or what it calls a village) for purposes of promises made to employees notwithstanding the creation of separate entities. To the extent there are separate entities operating different locations, each have the same interest as Total and DaVita and ultimately DaVita as the owner of any separate entities is affected by the claims made in this action.
- 40. The Defendant Class is comprised of all entities which are owned or controlled by the DaVita who:
 - a. Has employees who are covered by the Teammates Policies Handbook published by DaVita, Inc.
 - b. The employees worked for the Defendant Class Members on and after January 31, 2020;
 - c. The Defendant Class Members did not pay premium pay, defined as 1.5 times their base rate, for any work performed since the declaration of the emergency.
- 41. Based on the number of clinics operated by the Defendant Class Members in the United States, upon information and belief, the class is so numerous as to make it impracticable to join all members of the class of plaintiffs. On information and belief, the class is comprised of tens if not hundreds of entities.

42. There are questions of law and fact which are common to all members of the Defendant Class, which questions predominate over any question affecting only individual Defendant Class Members, the principal common issues are:

a. whether Plaintiff Class Members are entitled to be paid premium time for the hours they worked for the Defendant Class Members since the declaration of an emergency.

b. Whether the Plaintiff Class Members are entitled to prejudgment interest on any amounts they are owed by the Defendant Class Members.

- 43. The only individual questions concern the identification of Defendant Class Members and the computation of the relief that the defendant class members may be liable for and can be determined by a ministerial examination of the Defendant Class Members' electronically stored information.
- 44. Total's position or defenses to the claims are typical of the position or defenses of the Defendant Class Members. Upon information and belief, the Defendant Class Members treated all of the Plaintiff Class Members the same by failing to pay premium time since the declaration of an emergency as directed by DaVita.
- 45. Defendant Total will fairly and adequately protect the interests of all class members in the defense of this action. It is similarly situated to and provides its employees DaVita's company policies as set forth in the Teammates Policies Handbook and all of the Defendant Class Members treat its employees in accordance with its provisions. To that end, Defendant Total is likely to retain counsel experienced in handling class action suits involving claims as set forth in this complaint. Neither Total nor its counsel will have any interest which

might cause them not to vigorously defend this action and the interests of the Defendant Class Members.

- 46. The Defendant Class Members have and are acting in a uniform manner with respect to the entire class and on grounds uniformly applicable to the class.
- 47. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 48. The Defendant Class Members can more economically defend the claims rather than defend tens if not hundreds of individual actions.
- 49. The concentration of the litigation concerning this matter in this Court is desirable if the court.
 - 50. A failure of justice will result from the absence of a class action.

COUNT I – BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 51. The Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs.
- 52. The Plaintiff Class Members entered into a contract with the Defendant Class Members for the wages to be paid for their services.
- 53. The contract set forth the rate of pay for the Plaintiff Class Members if there was an emergency declared.
- 54. The Defendant Class Members have breached their agreements with the Plaintiff Class Members by failing to pay them premium time for their work since the declaration of an emergency.
- 55. The Plaintiff Class Members have fully performed their obligations under the parties' agreement for the payment of wages promised.

Case 2:20-cv-01733-JLR Document 1-1 Filed 11/23/20 Page 12 of 12

1 J. Craig Jones 2 (Pro Hac Vice to be filed) JONES & HILL, LLC 3 131 Highway 165 South Oakdale, LA 71463 4 TEL 318-335-1333 5 FAX 318-335-1934 craig@joneshilllaw.com 6 7 Scott C. Borison (Pro Hac Vice to be filed) 8 BORISON FIRM, LLC. 9 1900 S. Norfolk Rd. Suite 350 San Mateo CA 94403 10 TEL 301-620-1016 FAX 301-620-1018 11 scott@borisonfirm.com 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26